

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1459
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 09/606,934 06/30/2000 Hiroshi Tanaka 0905-0239P-SP 8457 2292 7590 11/17/2003 **EXAMINER BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH** TRAN, PABLO N **PO BOX 747** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 2685

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/606,934	TANAKA, HIROSHI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pablo N Tran	2685
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated that the period for reply will, by stated that the mail that the mail that the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Migue, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-30</u> is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and		ation.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the option of the sheet of the option of the sheet of the option of the sheet of the option of the o	ccepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority docume  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority docume.  13) The translation of the foreign language priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for document is	nts have been received.  nts have been received in iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  st of the certified copies no stic priority under 35 U.S.C first sentence of the speciforovisional application has stic priority under 35 U.S.C	Application No en received in this National Stage of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ication or in an Application Data Sheet. been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2685

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. The Election/Restriction's Action (no. 4) issued by the examiner on 07/29/03 is withdrawn.
- 2. Newly submitted claims 15-30 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Regarding claim 15, a method of controlling the operations of an image communication system comprising the steps of transmitting the prepared image list, displaying an image list, and accepting an image identification selected in the display list, transmitting the accept image identification, transmitting the image data, and transmitting the received image data to a transmission destination through a communication network.

Regarding claim 17, a method of controlling the operations in a server and an image communication system comprising the steps of transmitting the kept image list or thumb-nail image list from said server to said portable telephone, displaying an image list or the thumb-nail image list, and accepting an image identification selected in the display image list or thumb-nail list, transmitting the accept image identification, transmitting the image data, and transmitting the received image data to the image processor.

Art.Unit: 2685

Regarding claim 19, a portable telephone set capable of establishing data communication with an image processor comprising list command means, list receiving means, selection means, image request means, and image transmitting means.

Regarding claim 22, a portable telephone set capable of establishing data communication with a server comprising list command means, list receiving means, selection means, image request means, and image transmitting means.

Regarding claim 25, a digital camera capable of establishing data communication with a MS comprising list creation means, list transmitting means, and image transmitting means.

Regarding claim 29, a digital camera capable of establishing data communication with a portable telephone comprising list creation means, receiving means, and image transmitting means.

Regarding claim 30, a portable telephone set capable of establishing data communication with an image processor comprising command means, first receiving means, a display device, selection means, image request means, second receiving means, and image transmitting means.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 15-30 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Art, Unit: 2685

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alperovich et al. (6,317,609) in view of Niwa (6,538,692).

As per claims 1, 3-4, 6, and 8-14, *Alperovich et al.* disclose an image communication system in which an image processor (see fig. 3/no. 300) and a portable telephone set (fig. 3/no. 20) can establish data communication with an image processor comprising a reading command to read out the image data being issued to the image processor from the portable telephone, wherein said image processor transmitting the stored image data to said portable telephone set in response to the reading command issued from said portable telephone, and wherein said portable telephone set comprises setting means for setting a destination of transmission of the image data, receiving the image data transmitted from said image processor, and a second transmission means for transmitting the received image data to the destination of transmission set by said setting means through a communication network (col. 4/ln. 21-59).

Alperovich et al. do not disclosed such judging means to judge whether or not the storage has enough space to store the image data. However, such is well known in the art, as taught by *Niwa* (col. 5/ln. 63-col. 6/ln. 6-14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such judging method, as taught by

Art.Unit: 2685

Niwa, to the communication system of *Alperovich et al.* in order to allow all desired image data to be recorded without interruption.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Monroe et al. (6,181,954), Aarnio (6,522,889), Tanaka et al. (6,392,697), Safai et al. (6,167,469), Suso et al. (6,069,648), Suso et al. (6,466,202), McDonnell et al. (6,567,661), Tullis (6,535,243), Lourette et al. (5,978,016), Schelling et al. (5,706,097), Hull et al. (5,806,005), Aoki et al. (EP0930770A2), Evans (EP1189424A1), and Anderson et al. (WO9948276) disclose radiotelephone communication system.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (703)308-7941. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703)305-4385.

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Art-Unit: 2685

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

PABLO N. TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER November 13, 2003

AU2685